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Abstract— A multi microphone array technique for hearing aid noise reduction is described. This study evaluates a spatial-filtering algorithm as a method to improve speech reception for monaural and binaural hearing impaired users in reverberant environments with multiple noise sources. The system has to filter sounds using phase differences between two microphones situated some distance apart in a behind-the-ear hearing-aid capsule. The approach is to linearly combine microphone signals after amplitude scaling and phase shifting. This approach is linear and time-invariant and can be used to generate known directional responses. Such approaches are generally referred to as beamforming algorithms. Beamformers can be implemented in analog, multiport, microphone circuitry to produce directional microphones. These techniques help to filter sounds using phase differences between microphones and provide improvements in speech signal across listening conditions compared with the omnidirectional response also spatial filtering can improve speech reception for hearing impaired users even in highly reverberant conditions with multiple noise sources.

Index Terms— Spatial filtering, Adaptive Beamformer, Multi-Channel Wiener Filtering (MWF), Blind Source Separation (BSS), Computational Auditory Scene Analysis (CASA), Minimum Variance Distortionless Response (MVDR), Generalized Side-lobe Canceler (GSC), Adaptive Noise Canceler (ANC).

I. INTRODUCTION

Noise jammer techniques in hearing aids are essential for hearing impaired persons to enhance speech quality in background noise (e.g., traffic, cocktail party situation). Many hearing aids today have more than one microphone, enabling the use of multi-microphone speech enhancement techniques [1]. When compared with single-microphone techniques, which can only use spectral and temporal information, multi-microphone techniques can additionally exploit the spatial information [beamforming] of the sound sources. This actually results in a better performance, especially when the speech and the noise sources are spatially separated. Most hearing impaired patients have a hearing loss at both ears; they are fitted with a hearing aid at each ear. In a so-called bilateral system, no cooperation between the hearing aids takes place. Current noise reduction techniques in bilateral hearing aids are not designed to preserve the binaural localization cues, i.e. the
Interaural Time Difference (ITD) and the Interaural Level Difference (ILD) [2]. These binaural cues play an important role in sound localisation and speech segregation in noisy environments [3, 4, 5]. In order to achieve true binaural processing, both hearing aids need to cooperate with each other, e.g. through a wireless link, such that a binaural hearing aid can be considered a simple acoustic sensor network. The objective of a binaural signal enhancement technique then is not only to selectively extract the useful speech signal and suppress background noise, but also to preserve the binaural cues of the sound sources, so as to preserve the auditory impression of the acoustic scene and exploit the binaural hearing advantage.

Section II gives an overview of several multi-microphone noise reduction techniques for hearing aids.

II. OVERVIEW OF NOISE REDUCTION TECHNIQUES

This paper gives the survey of some of the multi-microphone speech enhancement techniques and its advantages and limitations for monaural and binaural hearing aids, based on Fixed and Adaptive Beamforming, Multi-channel Wiener Filtering (MWF), Blind Source Separation (BSS) and Computational Auditory Scene Analysis (CASA). Each class of techniques has its own advantages and limitations.

A. Fixed Beamforming

Fixed Beamformers combine the microphone signals using a time-invariant filter-and-sum operation and are hence data-independent. The objective of a Fixed Beamformer is to obtain spatial focusing on the desired speech source, thereby reducing background noise not coming from the direction of the speech source. Different types of Fixed Beamformers exist, e.g. delay-and-sumbeamforming, superdirective Beamforming, differential microphone arrays and frequency-invariant Beamforming [6, 7]. For the design of Fixed Beamformers, the direction of the speech source and the complete microphone configuration need to be known. Hence, Fixed Beamformers have mainly been used for monaural hearing aids [8, 9], although for binaural hearing aids Fixed Beamforming techniques have also been proposed that aim to combine spatial selectivity and noise reduction with the preservation of the binaural cues of the speech source [10, 11].

B. Adaptive Beamforming

In practice, since the background noise is unknown and can change both spectrally and spatially, information about the noise field has to be adaptively estimated. Adaptive Beamformer will combine the spatial focusing of Fixed Beamformer with adaptive noise suppression [12]. Hence, they generally exhibit a higher noise reduction performance than Fixed Beamformers.

C. In a Minimum Variance Distortionless Response (MVDR) Beamformer

[13, 14], the energy of the output signal is minimised under the constraint that signals arriving from the assumed direction of the desired speech source are processed without distortion. A widely studied adaptive implementation of this Beamformer is the Generalised Sidelobe Canceler (GSC) [15]. The standard GSC consists of a spatial preProcessor, i.e. a Fixed Beamformer and a blocking matrix, combined with a (multichannel) adaptive noise canceler (ANC). The Fixed Beamformer provides a spatial focus on the speech source, creating a so-called speech reference; the blocking matrix steers nulls in the direction of the speech source, creating so-called noise references; and the ANC eliminates the noise components in the speech reference that are correlated with the noise references. Due to room reverberation, microphone mismatch and look direction error, speech components may however leak into the noise references of the standard GSC, giving rise to speech distortion and possibly signal cancelation. Several techniques have been proposed to limit the speech distortion resulting from this speech leakage, by reducing the speech leakage components in the noise references [16, 17] and by limiting the distorting effect of the remaining speech leakage components [18, 19]. The GSC or one of its more robust variants is a widely used multi-microphone noise reduction technique for monaural hearing aids with an endfire microphone array configuration. In an effort to combine adaptive noise reduction with binaural processing, adaptive Beamforming techniques producing a binaural output signal have also been proposed [20, 21].

In [22] the frequency spectrum is divided into a low-pass and a high-pass portion, and the low-pass portion is passed through unaltered in order to preserve the ITD cues of the speech source, while adaptive noise reduction is performed only for the high-pass portion. Other techniques restrict the preservation of the binaural cues to an angular region around the frontal direction, while reducing background noise for the other angles [23].
Multi-channel Wiener filtering (MWF) In [24] an MWF technique has been proposed that produces a minimum mean square error (MMSE) estimate of the desired speech component in one of the microphone signals, hence simultaneously performing noise reduction and limiting speech distortion. In addition, the MWF is able to take speech distortion into account in its optimisation criterion, resulting in the speech distortion weighted MWF (SDW-MWF). The SDW-MWF is uniquely based on estimates of the second-order statistics of the recorded speech signal and the noise signal, and hence requires a method that determines time-frequency regions where the desired source is dominant and time-frequency regions where the interference is dominant. The SDW-MWF has been successfully applied as a speech enhancement technique in monaural multi-microphone hearing aids [25, 26].

Since the SDW-MWF produces an estimate of the speech component in the microphone signals and does not make any assumptions regarding the microphone configuration and the room impulse responses relating the speech source and the microphones, it is obviously well suited to combine noise reduction with binaural processing. It was shown in [27, 28] that the binaural MWF perfectly preserves the binaural cues of the speech component, but undesirably changes the noise cues to those of the speech component. Several extensions have been proposed to preserve the binaural cues of both the speech and the noise component, either by partial noise estimation or by extending the MWF cost function with terms related to the ITD, ILD or interaural transfer function [29]. In addition, recently a distributed version of the binaural SDW-MWF has been presented [30].

D. Blind Source Separation (BSS)

The signals received at the microphones can essentially be considered a mixture of all sound sources, filtered by the respective room impulse responses between the sound source and the microphones. The goal of BSS is to recover all original signals. Many BSS algorithms exploit the independence and the non-Gaussianity of the sources, enabling the use of e.g. independent component analysis (ICA) techniques [31]. While time-domain ICA-based techniques are well suited to solve the instantaneous mixing problem, they are not able to address the convolutive mixture problem encountered in typical reverberant environments. By considering the BSS problem in the frequency-domain, the convolutive mixing problem can be transformed into an instantaneous mixing problem for each frequency bin. An inherent permutation and amplitude/phase scaling problem however occurs in frequency-domain BSS approaches, for which several solutions have been proposed [31, 32]. Nevertheless, due to its computational complexity the use of BSS techniques in hearing aids has found only limited practical interest.

E. Computational Auditory Scene Analysis

Algorithms based on computational auditory scene analysis (CASA) aim to perform sound segregation by modeling the human auditory perceptual processing [05, 33]. A typical CASA model involves two stages. In the first stage, a time-frequency representation of the incoming mixture of signals is generated, e.g. using short-time Fourier transform (STFT) processing or by incorporating a more advanced cochlear model. In the second stage, the resulting time-frequency elements are grouped into separate perceptual streams based on distinctive perceptual cues. As summarised in [34], some cues characterise the monaural acoustic properties of the sources, such as common pitch, amplitude modulation, onset [35]. In addition, for a binaural system sound sources can also be distinguished based on their spatial direction information, e.g. ITD, ILD and interaural envelope difference [36]. A gain factor is applied to each time-frequency element, such that regions dominated by the desired sound stream receive a high gain and regions dominated by other streams receive a low gain.

III. CONCLUSION

This paper reviewed several multi-microphone beamforming techniques that can be used for noise reduction in monaural and binaural hearing aids. For monaural noise reduction technique the transfer-function GSC and the multi-channel Wiener filter are focused, which are derived from different cost functions but are in fact closely related. For binaural noise reduction several extensions of the standard binaural MWF that aim to preserve the binaural cues of the speech and the noise sources are focused.
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